1		
2		
3		
4		
5	LIMITED STATES	DISTRICT COLUDT
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA	
7	AND THE PROPERTY AND	GAGENIO GAO 5 (20 PMG
8	YU-TSEN YU,	CASE NO. C18-5629 BHS
9	Plaintiff, v.	ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND DENYING IN PART
10	NATIONAL RAILROAD PASSENGER	DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND TO
11	CORPORATION d/b/a AMTRAK,	SHOW CAUSE
12	Defendant.	
13	This matter comes before the Court on Defendant National Railroad Passenger	
14	Corporation d/b/a Amtrak's ("Amtrak") motion for summary judgment on punitive	
15	damages and Consumer Protection Act ("CPA") claim. Dkt. 13.	
16	On October 31, 2019, Amtrak filed the instant motion. <i>Id.</i> On November 18,	
17	2019, Plaintiff Yu-Tsen Yu responded. Dkt. 15. On November 22, 2019, Amtrak	
18	replied. Dkt. 17.	
19	Upon review of the motion, the Court grants the motion in part and denies the	
20	motion in part on the same grounds and for the same reasons set forth in Garza v. Nat'l	
21		
22		

R.R. Passenger Corp., C18-5106 BHS, 2019 WL 4849489 (W.D. Wash. Oct. 1, 2019) and related cases cited therein. Regarding the remaining CPA claim, the Court will allow any party to show cause why the claim should not be severed and opened in a new case as was done in the related case of Cottrell v. Nat'l R.R. Passenger Corp., C18-0072-BHS, Dkt. 53 (W.D. Wash. Feb. 26, 2020). Any response may be filed no later than March 6, 2020. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated this 27th day of February, 2020. United States District Judge